REMARKS

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 112, and Claim Amendments

Claim 45 is amended as it appears to have not further narrowed claim 1, from which it depends.

The Office Action is correct that the last three variables in **claim 46** are not in use. Accordingly, they have been deleted, rendering this rejection moot.

Claim 47 is amended in accord with the disclosure on page 8, line 7, of the application, rendering this rejection moot.

Claim 14 is amended in accord with the disclosure on pages 21-27. The ligand is defined by specific formulae. New dependent claims 55, 56, and 57 have support in the same disclosure.

Support for new **claims 52, 53 and 54** can be found, e.g., at the bottom of page 5 of the specification, and also on page 29, lines 16-23.

Claims 15, 31, 37 and 42 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claim 48 is amended in accord with the disclosure in the paragraph bridging pages 9 and 10

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Csaba Henter/

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908 Attorney for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. Arlington Courthouse Plaza I 2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400 Arlington, Virginia 22201

Direct Dial: 703-812-5331 Facsimile: 703-243-6410

Filed: March 12, 2009

K:\EPROV\17\REPLY MAR 09.DOC

23 EPROV-17